

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DG 20-<u>XXX141</u>

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas Summer 2021 Cost of Gas

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

#### **DAVID B. SIMEK**

#### AND

## CATHERINE A. MCNAMARA

September-October 161, 2020

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DG 20-XXX Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas & Summer 2021 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Catherine A. McNamara Page 1 of 16

# 1 I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2	Q.	Please state your full name and business address.
3	A.	(DS) My name is David B. Simek. My business address is 15 Buttrick Road,
4		Londonderry, New Hampshire.
5		(CM) My name is Catherine A. McNamara. My business address is 15 Buttrick Road,
6		Londonderry, New Hampshire.
7	Q.	Please state by whom you are employed.
8	A.	We are employed by Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("Liberty"), which provides service
9		to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities
10		("EnergyNorth" or "the Company").
11	Q.	Please describe your educational background and your business and professional
12		experience.
13	A.	(DS) I graduated from Ferris State University in 1993 with a Bachelor of Science in
14		Finance. I received a Master's of Science in Finance from Walsh College in 2000. I also
15		received a Master's of Business Administration from Walsh College in 2001. In 2006, I
16		earned a Graduate Certificate in Power Systems Management from Worcester
17		Polytechnic Institute. In August 2013, I joined Liberty as a Utility Analyst and I was
18		promoted to Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs in August 2017. Prior to my
19		employment at Liberty, I was employed by NSTAR Electric & Gas ("NSTAR") as a
20		Senior Analyst in Energy Supply from 2008 to 2012. Prior to my position in Energy

- Supply at NSTAR, I was a Senior Financial Analyst within the NSTAR Investment 1 Planning group from 2004 to 2008. 2 (CM) I graduated from the University of Massachusetts, Boston, in 1993 with a Bachelor 3 of Science in Management with a concentration in Accounting. In November 2017, I 4 joined Liberty as an Analyst in Rates and Regulatory Affairs. Prior to my employment at 5 6 Liberty, I was employed by Eversource as a Senior Analyst in the Investment Planning group from 2015 to 2017. From 2008 to 2015, I was a Supervisor in the Plant 7 Accounting department. Prior to my position in Plant Accounting, I was a Financial 8
- Analyst/General Ledger System Administrator within the Accounting group from 2000 to
  2008.
- Q. Have you previously testified in regulatory proceedings before the New Hampshire
  Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission")?
- 13 A. (DS) Yes. I have testified on numerous occasions before the Commission.
- 14 (CM) Yes. I have testified on multiple occasions before the Commission.
- 15 **Q.** What is the purpose of your testimony?

16 A. The purpose of our testimony is to explain the Company's proposed firm sales cost of gas

- 17 rates for the 2020/2021 Winter (Peak) Period and the Company's proposed 2020/2021
- 18 Local Delivery Adjustment Clause, both effective November 1, 2020. Our testimony
- also explains the Company's proposed firm sales cost of gas rates for the 2021 Summer

20 (Off-Peak) Period.

#### 1 II. WINTER 2020/2021 COST OF GAS FACTOR

## 2 Q. What are the proposed firm Winter sales and firm transportation cost of gas rates?

A. The Company proposes a firm sales cost of gas rate of \$0.5571 per therm for residential
customers, \$0.5552 per therm for commercial/industrial high winter use customers, and
\$0.5660 per therm for commercial/industrial low winter use customers as shown on
Proposed Eleventh Revised Page 92 (Bates 047). The Company proposes a firm
transportation cost of gas rate of \$0.0001 per therm as shown on Proposed Fourth

8 Revised Page 94 (Bates 049).

#### 9 Q. Please explain tariff page and Proposed Eleventh Revised Page 92 (Bates 047).

10 A. Proposed Eleventh Revised Page 92 contains the calculation of the 2020/2021 Winter

11 Period Cost of Gas Rate and summarize the Company's forecast of firm gas costs and

12 firm gas sales. As shown on Page 92, the proposed 2020/2021 Average Cost of Gas of

13 \$0.5571 per therm is derived by adding the Direct Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.5319 per therm

14 to the Indirect Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.0252 per therm. The estimated total Anticipated

15 Direct Cost of Gas, derived on Page 92, is \$46,922,854. The estimated Indirect Cost of

16 Gas, also derived on Page 92, is \$2,220,114. The Direct Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.5319 and

17 the Indirect Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.0252 are determined by dividing each of these total

18 cost figures by the projected winter period firm sales volumes of 88,213,529 therms.

19 To calculate the total Anticipated Direct Cost of Gas, the Company adds a list of 20 allowable adjustments from deferred gas cost accounts to the projected demand and 21 commodity costs for the winter period supply portfolio. These allowable adjustments, 22 shown on Page 92.1, total \$1,012,447. These adjustments are added to the Unadjusted

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities
Docket No. DG 20-XXX
Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas & Summer 2021 Cost of Gas
Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Catherine A. McNamara
Page 4 of 16

1		Anticipated Cost of Gas of \$45,910,407 to determine the Total Anticipated Direct Cost of		
2		Gas of \$46,922,854.		
3	Q.	What are the components of the Unadjusted Anticipated (	Cost of Gas?	
4	A.	The Unadjusted Anticipated Cost of Gas shown on Proposed	Original Page 92.1 consists	
5		of the following components:		
6 7 8 9 10		<ol> <li>Purchased Gas Demand Costs</li> <li>Purchased Gas Commodity Costs</li> <li>Storage Demand and Capacity Costs</li> <li>Storage Commodity Costs</li> <li>Produced Gas Cost</li> </ol>	\$12,022,922 28,276,980 955,766 3,064,149 <u>1,590,589</u>	
11		Total	** <u>\$45,910,406</u>	
12		**Slightly off due to rounding		
13	Q.	What are the components of the allowable adjustments to	the Cost of Gas?	
14	A.	The allowable adjustments to gas costs, listed on Proposed Or	riginal Page 92.1, are as	
15		follows:		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		<ol> <li>Deferred Gas Cost Prior Period Under Collection</li> <li>Interest</li> <li>Fuel Inventory Revenue Requirement</li> <li>Broker Revenues</li> <li>Transportation COG Revenue</li> <li>Capacity Release Margin</li> <li>Fixed Price Administrative Cost</li> </ol>	$\begin{array}{c} \$2,227,421\\72,812\\441,037\\(32,725)\\(4,516)\\(1,736,581)\\\underline{45,000}\end{array}$	
23		Total Adjustments	** <u>\$1,012,448</u>	
24		**Slightly off due to rounding		

1		These allowable adjustments are standard adjustments made to the deferred gas cost
2		balance through the operation of the Company's cost of gas adjustment clause. We
3		discuss the factors contributing to the prior period under collection later in this testimony.
4	Q.	How does the proposed average cost of gas rate in this filing compare to the average
5		cost of gas rate approved by the Commission in Docket No. DG 19-145 for the
6		2019/2020 winter period?
7	A.	The average cost of gas rate proposed in this filing of \$0.5571 per therm is \$0.0632 per
8		therm less than the initial rate of \$0.6203 per therm approved by the Commission in
9		Order No. 26,306 (October 31, 2019) in Docket No. DG 19-145. The \$0.0632 per therm
10		decrease in the rate reflects a \$6,025,265 decrease in the Total Unadjusted Direct Cost of
11		Gas.
12	Q.	How does the proposed firm transportation winter cost of gas rate compare to the
13		rate approved by the Commission for the 2019/2020 winter period?
14	A.	The proposed firm transportation winter cost of gas rate is \$0.0001 per therm. The rate
15		approved in Docket No. DG 19-145 was \$0.0009 per therm. The decrease in the rate
16		relates primarily to an estimated \$29,483 decrease in commodity costs and the difference
17		between the winter season 2019/2020 beginning balance of \$29,161 (an over-collection)
18		and the winter season 2020/2021 beginning balance of \$40,053 (an over-collection).

1	Q.	In the calculation of its firm transportation winter cost of gas rate, has the Company
2		updated the estimated percentage used for pressure support purposes?
3	А.	No. The Company used, for pressure support purposes, a rate of 8.7% based on the
4		marginal cost study used for the rate design approved in Docket No. DG 17-048.
5	Q.	Did the Company include a fuel inventory revenue requirement calculation in this
6		filing?
7	A.	Yes (Bates 198). The Company is proposing to collect \$441,037 in fuel inventory
8		revenue requirement consistent with Order No. 26,156 (July 10, 2018) in Docket No. DG
9		17-048. The impact of this amount to the overall Cost of Gas rate is \$0.0050 per therm
10		which is determined by dividing the \$441,037 by the estimated November 2019 through
11		October 2020 COG sales volumes of 87,788,508 therms.
12	Q.	How was the statutory tax rate of 27.08% calculated (Bates 198)?
13	A.	The statutory rate of 27.08% was calculated by using a 21% federal tax rate and a 7.7%
14		tax rate for the State of New Hampshire $(0.21 + 0.077 - (0.21 \times 0.077) = 0.27083)$ .
15	Q.	How was the common equity pre-tax rate of 6.280% calculated (Bates 198)?
16	А.	The common equity pre-tax rate of 6.280% was calculated by dividing the 9.30% rate of
17		return on common equity, approved in Docket No. DG 17-048, by $0.72917 (1 - 0.27083)$
18		[statutory tax rate – see previous question]) and multiplied by 49.20% (equity component
19		of the capital structure approved in DG 17-048) $[0.093 / 0.72917 \times 0.4920 = 0.0628]$ .

1	Q.	Has the bad debt percentage in this filing of 1.11% changed from the bad debt
2		percentage calculated in the Winter 2019/2020 Cost of Gas Reconciliation?
3	A.	No. The bad debt percentage of 1.11% used in this filing is the calculated rate for the
4		period of May 2019–April 2020.
5	Q.	What was the actual weighted average firm sales cost of gas rate for the 2019/2020
6		winter period?
7	A.	The weighted average cost of gas rate was \$0.4632 per therm (Bates 085 Line 54). This
8		was calculated by applying the actual monthly cost of gas rates for November 2019
9		through April 2020 to the monthly therm usage of an average residential heating
10		customer using 667 therms for the six winter period months.
11	III.	PRIOR WINTER PERIOD UNDER-COLLECTION
12	Q.	Please explain the prior period under collection of \$1,863,956.
13	A.	The prior period under-collection is detailed in the 2019/2020 winter period
14		reconciliation that was filed with the Commission on July 31, 2020. The \$1,863,956
15		under-collection is the sum of the deferred gas cost, bad debt, and working capital over-
16		and under-collection balances as of April 30, 2020. The under-collection was driven
17		mainly by the lag in the timing of monthly cost of gas rate adjustments as compared to
18		changes in the underlying costs.

#### 1 IV. FIXED PRICE OPTION

# Q. Has the Company established a winter period fixed price pursuant to its Fixed Price Option Program?

A. Yes. Pursuant to Order No. 24,515 in Docket No. DG 05-127, the Fixed Price Option 4 Program ("FPO") rates are set at \$0.0200 per therm higher than the initial proposed COG 5 rate. Proposed Third Revised Page 91 (Bates 046) contains the FPO rate for the 6 2020/2021 winter period, which is \$0.5771 per therm for residential customers. This 7 compares to the FPO rate approved for the 2019/2020 winter period of \$0.6403 per therm 8 for residential customers. This represents a \$0.0632 per therm or 9.87% decrease in the 9 residential FPO rate. The total bill impact on the winter period bills for an average FPO 10 heating customer using 667 therms is a decrease of approximately \$34.21 or 3.67% 11 12 compared to last winter. The total bill impact reflects the overall rates in effect following implementation of the increases approved in Docket No. DG 20-049, effective July 1, 13 2020, relating to the cast iron/bare steel main replacement program. The estimated 14 winter period bill for an average residential heating customer opting for the FPO would 15 be approximately  $\frac{13.3214.47}{10}$  (or 1.549%) higher than the bill under the proposed cost of 16 gas rates, assuming no monthly adjustments to the COG rate during the course of the 17 winter. Schedule 23 (Bates 195) contains the historical results of the FPO program. 18

19

V.

#### LOCAL DELIVERY ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE ("LDAC")

20

#### Q. What are the surcharges that will be billed under the LDAC?

A. As shown on Proposed Third Revised Page 97 (Bates 052), the Company is submitting
 for approval an LDAC of \$0.06030.0589 per therm for the residential non-heating class

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DG 20-<u>XXX141</u> Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas & Summer 2021 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Catherine A. McNamara Page 9 of 16

1		and residential heating class, and $0.05490.0555$ per therm for the commercial/industrial
2		bundled sales classes, effective November 1, 2020. The surcharges proposed to be billed
3		under the LDAC are the Energy Efficiency Charge, the Revenue Decoupling Adjustment
4		Factor, the Environmental Surcharge for Manufactured Gas Plant ("MGP") remediation,
5		the Residential Gas Assistance Program charge, and the rate case expense reconciliation
6		surcharge from Docket No. DG 17-048.
7	Q.	Which customers are billed an LDAC?
8	A.	All EnergyNorth customers including those in Keene are billed an LDAC charge. When
9		calculating the LDAC charge, the November 1, 2020, through October 31, 2021,
10		forecasted Keene therm sales of 1,442,013 are added to the EnergyNorth therm sales
11		forecast of 178,132,666 for a total therm sales forecast of 179,574,679.
12	Q.	Please explain the Energy Efficiency Charge.
13	A.	The Energy Efficiency Charge is designed to recover the projected expenses associated
14		with the Company's energy efficiency programs for the November 2020–October 2021
15		period that will be filed with the Commission in the near future. In the calculation of the
16		Energy Efficiency Charge, the Company has also included the projected prior period
17		under-recovery of the Company's residential and commercial energy efficiency programs
18		as of October 2020. As shown on Schedule 19 Energy Efficiency (Bates 124-126), the
19		proposed Energy Efficiency charge is \$0.0831 per therm for Residential customers and
20		\$0.0441 per therm for commercial and industrial customers.

#### **Q.** Please explain the Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor ("RDAF").

2	A.	The purpose of the RDAF is to recover or refund, on an annual basis, the difference
3		between the Actual Base Revenue per Customer and the Benchmark Base Revenue per
4		Customer. Schedule 19 RDAF (Bates 109-123) shows the proposed Actual Base
5		Revenue per Customer and the Benchmark Base Revenue per Customer calculation of a
6		total over-collection of \$4,965,947 effective November 1, 2020, through October 31,
7		2021. Schedule 19 RDAF also includes a proposed September 2019 through August
8		2020 reconciliation. The reconciliation is new to this filing and calculates a remaining
9		refund of \$1,010,099 effective November 1, 2020, through October 31, 2021.
10	Q.	What is the proposed Residential Gas Assistance Program charge?
10 11	<b>Q.</b> A.	What is the proposed Residential Gas Assistance Program charge? As shown on Schedule 19 Gas Assistance (Bates 127-128), the proposed Residential Gas
11		As shown on Schedule 19 Gas Assistance (Bates 127-128), the proposed Residential Gas
11 12		As shown on Schedule 19 Gas Assistance (Bates 127-128), the proposed Residential Gas Assistance charge is \$0.0121 per therm. It is designed to recover administrative costs,
11 12 13		As shown on Schedule 19 Gas Assistance (Bates 127-128), the proposed Residential Gas Assistance charge is \$0.0121 per therm. It is designed to recover administrative costs, revenue shortfall, and the prior period reconciliation adjustment relating to this program.
11 12 13 14		As shown on Schedule 19 Gas Assistance (Bates 127-128), the proposed Residential Gas Assistance charge is \$0.0121 per therm. It is designed to recover administrative costs, revenue shortfall, and the prior period reconciliation adjustment relating to this program. For the 2020/2021 winter period, the Company is providing a 45% base rate and cost of

17 Residential Gas Assistance charge is designed to recover \$2,165,954, of which

18 \$1,689,200 is for the revenue shortfall resulting from 4,880 customers receiving a 45%

discount off their base and cost of gas rates, and \$476,754 for the prior year reconciling
adjustment.

Q. In Order No. 24,824 (Feb. 29, 2008) in Docket No. DG 06-122 relating to short-term
 debt issues, the Company agreed to adjust its short-term debt limits each year as

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DG 20-<u>XXX141</u> Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas & Summer 2021 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Catherine A. McNamara Page 11 of 16

1		part of the Company's Winter Period Cost of Gas filing. Did the Company
2		calculate the short-term debt limit for fuel and non-fuel purposes in accordance
3		with this settlement?
4	A.	Yes, the Company included in Schedule 24 (Bates 196) the short-term debt limit for fuel
5		and non-fuel purposes for the 2020/2021 winter period. As shown, the short-term debt
6		limit for fuel inventory financing for the period November 1, 2020, through October 31,
7		2021, is calculated to be $\frac{14,702,768}{14,742,890}$ and the limit for non-fuel purposes is
8		calculated to be \$105,567,204.
	0	
9	Q.	Has the Company updated the Environmental Surcharge (Tariff Page 95)?
10	A.	Yes, it has. The costs submitted for recovery through the MGP remediation cost recovery
11		mechanism, as well as the third party recoveries, are included in the Environmental Cost
12		Summary in Schedule 20 (Bates 130) of this filing. The environmental investigation and
13		remediation costs that underlie these expenses are the result of efforts by the Company to
14		respond to its legal obligations with regard to these sites, as described by Ms. Casey in
15		her pre-filed direct testimony in this proceeding and as set forth in the MGP site
16		summaries included in this filing under Schedule 20. The Summary included in Schedule
17		20 shows the remediation cost pools for the Concord Pond, Concord MGP, Manchester,
18		Nashua, and Laconia sites, and a General Pool for costs that cannot be directly assigned
19		to a specific site.
20		A summary sheet and detailed backup spreadsheets that support the 2019/2020 costs are
20		provided in Schedule 20 of this filing. Ms. Casey's testimony describes the Company's
22		activities with regard to all five sites.

# Q. Please describe how the Company calculated the Environmental Surcharge included in this filing.

The proposed Manufactured Gas Plant Remediation surcharge for the period beginning 3 A. 4 November 1, 2020, and ending October 31, 2021, is \$0.0197 per therm. Consistent with filings made over the past few years, this surcharge will recover a total of \$2,864,179 in 5 amortized remediation costs. New to this filing are amortized actual to forecast true-up 6 7 recovery costs through June 2019 of \$341,389 (total amount is \$1,024,167 which is proposed to be amortized over three years). The \$1,024,167 is the recommended amount 8 provided by Audit Staff in the DG 19-145 Final Audit Report dated April 9, 2020. Also, 9 new to this filing are actual to forecast true-up recovery cost for the period July 2019 10 through June 2020 of \$338,564. The costs submitted for recovery are shown in the 11 Environmental Cost Summary included in Schedule 20 of this filing. 12

#### 13 Q. Did the Company include a Rate Case Expense (RCE) surcharge in this filing?

- A. Yes. As shown on Schedule 19 RCE (Bates 107-108), the Company is proposing to
  collect \$44,619 in uncollected rate case expense consistent with Order No. 26,122 (April
- 16 27, 2018) in Docket No. DG 17-048. The RCE rate of \$0.0002 per therm is determined
- by dividing the \$44,619 by the estimated November 2020 through October 2021 sales
- 18 volumes of 179,574,679 therms.

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DG 20-<u>XXX141</u> Winter 2020/2021 Cost of Gas & Summer 2021 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of David B. Simek and Catherine A. McNamara Page 13 of 16

1	Q.	Has the Company also updated its Company Allowance percentage for the period
2		November 2020 through October 2021 in accordance with Section 8 of the
3		Company's Delivery Terms and Condition?
4	A.	Yes, in Schedule 25 (Bates 197) the Company has recalculated its Company Allowance
5		for the period November 2020 through October 2021. The Company calculated the
6		Company Allowance of 1.61% based on sendout and throughput data for the twelve-
7		month period ending June 2020. The Company proposes to apply this recalculated
8		Company Allowance to all supplier deliveries beginning in November 2020.
9	VI.	CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS
10	Q.	What are the estimated impacts of the proposed firm sales cost of gas rate and
11		proposed LDAC surcharges on an average heating customer's winter bill as
12		compared to the winter rates in effect last year?
13	A.	The bill impact analysis is presented in Schedule 8 (Bates 085) of this filing. These bill
14		impacts reflect the implementation of the increases approved in Docket No. DG 20-049
15		effective July 1, 2020, relating to the cast iron/bare steel main replacement program. The
16		total bill impact over the winter period for an average residential heating customer is an
17		increase of approximately $\frac{91.2190.29}{91.2190.29}$ or $\frac{11.511.40}{91.2190.29}$ . The total bill impact over the
18		winter period for an average commercial/industrial G-41 customer is an increase of
19		approximately $\frac{223.46224.65}{224.65}$ , or $\frac{11.011.10}{222}$ % (Bates 086). Schedule 8 of this filing
20		provides more detail of the impact of the proposed rate adjustments on heating customers.

# 1 VII. OTHER TARIFF CHANGES

2	Q.	Is the Company updating its Delivery Terms and Conditions in the filing?
3	A.	Yes. The Company is submitting Proposed Third Revised Page 147 (Bates 053) relating
4		to Supplier Balancing and Peaking Demand Charges and Proposed Third Revised Page
5		148 (Bates 054) relating to Capacity Allocation.
6	Q.	Please describe the changes to tariff Page 147.
7	A.	In Proposed Third Revised Page 147, the Company is updating the Peaking Demand
8		Charge from \$18.12 per MMBtu of Peak MDQ to \$17.32 per MMBtu of Peak MDQ.
9		This calculation is also presented in Schedule 21 (Bates 178-188).
10	Q.	Please describe the changes to tariff Page 148.
11	A.	Proposed Second Revised Page 148 updates the Capacity Allocator percentages used to
12		allocate pipeline, storage, and local peaking capacity to high and low load factor
13		customers under the mandatory capacity assignment requirement for firm transportation
14		service. Schedule 22 (Bates 189-194) contains the six-page worksheet that backs up the
15		calculations for the updated allocators.
16	VIII.	SUMMER 2020 COST OF GAS FACTOR
17	Q.	What are the proposed 2020 summer firm sales cost of gas rates?
18	A.	The Company proposes a firm sales cost of gas rate of \$0.3148 per therm for residential
19		customers, \$0.3109 per therm for commercial/industrial high winter use customers, and

- 20 \$0.3199 per therm for commercial/industrial low winter use customers as shown on
- 21 Proposed Eighth Revised Page 89 (Bates 207).

# 1Q.Please explain tariff pages Proposed Third Revised Page 88 and Proposed2Thirteenth Revised Page 89.

Proposed Third Revised Page 88 (Bates 206) and Proposed Thirteenth Revised Page 89 3 A. (Bates 207) contain the calculation of the 2020 Summer Period Cost of Gas Rate and 4 summarize the Company's forecast of firm gas sales, firm gas sendout, and gas costs. On 5 Proposed Thirteenth Revised Page 89, the 2021 Average Cost of Gas of \$0.3148 per 6 7 therm is derived by adding the Direct Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.3257 per therm to the Indirect Cost of Gas Rate of (\$0.0109) per therm. The estimated total Anticipated Direct 8 Cost of gas is \$7,386,965 and the estimated Indirect Cost of Gas is (\$246,190). The 9 Direct Cost of Gas Rate and the Indirect Cost of Gas Rates are determined by dividing 10 each of these total cost figures by the projected Summer firm sales volumes of 11 22,681,422 therms. Proposed Thirteenth Revised Page 89 further shows that the 12 Residential Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.3148 per therm is equal to the Average Cost of Gas 13 for all firm sales customers. It also shows the calculation of the Commercial/Industrial 14 High Winter Use Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.3109 per therm and the Commercial/Industrial 15 Low Winter Use Cost of Gas Rate of \$0.3199 per therm. 16 17 The calculation of the Anticipated Direct Cost of Gas is shown on Proposed Third

- 18 Revised Page 88. To derive the total Anticipated Direct Cost of Gas of \$7,386,965, the
- 19 Company starts with the Unadjusted Anticipated Cost of Gas of \$7,284,571 and adds the
- 20 Net Adjustment totaling \$102,394.

1	Q.	What are the components of the Unadjusted Ant	icipated Cost of Gas?
2	A.	The Unadjusted Anticipated Cost of Gas consists of	f the following:
3		1. Purchased Gas Demand Costs	\$2,868,280
4		2. Purchased Gas Supply Costs	4,387,278
5		3. Produced Gas Costs	<u>29,014</u>
6		Total Unadjusted Anticipated Cost of Gas	** <u>\$7,284,572</u>
7		**Slightly off due to rounding	
8	Q.	What are the components of the adjustments to t	the cost of gas?
9	A.	The adjustments to gas costs, listed on proposed Th	
10		1. Prior Period (Over)/Under Collection	\$105,886
11		2. Interest	<u>\$(3,492)</u>
12		Total Adjustments	<u>\$102,394</u>
13	Q.	How does the proposed average Residential Sum	mer cost of gas rate in this filing
14		compare to the initial cost of gas rate approved b	by the Commission for the 2020
15		Summer Period?	
16	A.	The cost of gas rate proposed in this filing is \$0.137	72 per therm lower than the initial rate
17		approved by the Commission for the 2019 Summer	Period (\$0.4520 vs. \$0.3148)
18		(Schedule 8, Bates 229). This decrease is primarily	due to a \$1,779,560 lower estimated
19		under-collection compared to the under-collection f	rom the prior summer period.
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?	
21	A.	Yes, it does.	